Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/001,039	JOLLY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Louis C. Cullman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 November 2003</u>	Time: <u>2:45 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's ame applications recited in the specification. Also, a duplicate of class		o update the status of U.S. patent
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ry by the examiner appea	rs in Part II above.
Q · 1 m =		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)